

# Limit theorems for Hawkes processes with finite-range self-excitation and self-inhibition

Populations: Interactions and Evolution  
10-14 September, 2018

Manon Costa<sup>1</sup>  
Laurence Marsalle<sup>3</sup>

Carl Graham<sup>2</sup>  
Viet Chi Tran<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse

<sup>2</sup>CMAP, École Polytechnique, CNRS

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Université de Lille

# Limit theorems for Hawkes processes with finite-range self-excitation and self-inhibition

Populations: Interactions and Evolution

10-14 September, 2018

Manon Costa<sup>1,4</sup>  
Laurence Marsalle<sup>3,4</sup>

Carl Graham<sup>2,4</sup>  
Viet Chi Tran<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse

<sup>2</sup>CMAP, École Polytechnique, CNRS

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Université de Lille

<sup>4</sup>Member “Chaire Modélisation Mathématique et Biodiversité”, École de printemps d'Aussois

# Limit theorems for Hawkes processes with finite-range self-excitation and self-inhibition

Populations: Interactions and Evolution

10-14 September, 2018

Manon Costa<sup>1,4,5</sup>  
Laurence Marsalle<sup>3,4</sup>

Carl Graham<sup>2,4</sup>  
Viet Chi Tran<sup>3,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse

<sup>2</sup>CMAP, École Polytechnique, CNRS

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Université de Lille

<sup>4</sup>Member “Chaire Modélisation Mathématique et Biodiversité”, École de printemps d’Aussois

<sup>5</sup>Former PhD student of Sylvie

# Limit theorems for Hawkes processes with finite-range self-excitation and self-inhibition

Populations: Interactions and Evolution

10-14 September, 2018

Manon Costa<sup>1,4,5</sup>  
Laurence Marsalle<sup>3,4</sup>

Carl Graham<sup>2,4,6</sup>  
Viet Chi Tran<sup>3,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse

<sup>2</sup>CMAP, École Polytechnique, CNRS

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Université de Lille

<sup>4</sup>Member “Chaire Modélisation Mathématique et Biodiversité”, École de printemps d'Aussois

<sup>5</sup>Former PhD student of Sylvie

<sup>6</sup>Met Sylvie in Marc Yor's masters course, we coauthored 9 papers in international journals

# Introduction

Hawkes processes were introduced to model earthquakes and their replicas.<sup>1</sup>

These **point processes** are now used in an increasingly wide variety of **applicative fields** to model

**the arrival instants of events**

exhibiting a form of

**self-excitation, or attraction:**  
**the occurrence of an event tends to**  
**encourage the occurrence of subsequent events.**

---

<sup>1</sup> **A. Hawkes (1971).** “Spectra of some self-exciting and mutually exciting point processes”. In: *Biometrika* 1.

Many such **fields** need to model phenomena exhibiting also

**self-inhibition, or repulsion:**  
the **occurrence** of an **event** tends to  
**discourage the occurrence of subsequent events.**

For instance in **neurobiology** the firing of a **neuron** may create a **refractory period** for this neuron, and complex regulations are obtained by some neurons having an **inhibitory** effect on others.

The case of

## self-excitation

has been studied actively for a long time, and is well documented. Notably, Hawkes and Oakes<sup>2</sup> have provided a decomposition as a

**cluster point process with immigration and branching:**  
the points which **arise** from the **excitation** from a **previous point** are considered as the **offspring** of that **ancestor**.

It allows to apply **branching process techniques**.

---

<sup>2</sup> A.G. Hawkes and D. Oakes (1974). “A cluster process representation of a self-exciting process”. In: *Journal of Applied Probability*.



The case of

## self-excitation

has been studied actively for a **long time**, and is **well documented**.  
Notably, Hawkes and Oakes<sup>2</sup> have provided a decomposition as a

**cluster point process** with **immigration** and **branching**:  
the points which **arise** from the **excitation** from a **previous point** are considered as the **offspring** of that **ancestor**.

It allows to apply **branching process techniques**.

In contrast,

## self-inhibition

is **much less well understood**, in particular due to the loss of **monotonicity**.

Notably, the **cluster point process** representation **fails**.

---

<sup>2</sup> [A.G. Hawkes and D. Oakes \(1974\)](#). “A cluster process representation of a self-exciting process”. In: *Journal of Applied Probability*.

The purpose of our paper<sup>3</sup> is to consider processes with both  
**self-inhibition and self-excitation**  
and obtain

**long-time limit** results  
suitable for **statistical applications**,

and in particular to extend

**concentration inequalities**

obtained by Reynaud-Bouret and Roy<sup>4</sup>.

---

<sup>3</sup> M. Costa et al. (2018). “Renewal in Hawkes processes with self-excitation and inhibition”. In: *arXiv:1801.04645*.

<sup>4</sup> P. Reynaud-Bouret and E. Roy (2007). “Some non asymptotic tail estimates for Hawkes processes”. In: *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society-Simon Stevin* 5.

# Definition of the Hawkes process

# Hawkes process

## Definition 1

The point process  $N^h$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Hawkes process on  $(0, +\infty)$  with

- initial condition  $N^0 \in \mathcal{N}((-\infty, 0])$  with law  $m$ ,
- base intensity  $\lambda > 0$ ,
- and (signed) reproduction function  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

# Hawkes process

## Definition 1

The **point process**  $N^h$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a **Hawkes process** on  $(0, +\infty)$  with

- **initial condition**  $N^0 \in \mathcal{N}((-\infty, 0])$  with law  $m$ ,
- **base intensity**  $\lambda > 0$ ,
- and **(signed) reproduction function**  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

if  $N^h|_{(-\infty, 0]} = N^0$  and the **conditional intensity** of  $N^h|_{(0, +\infty)}$  w.r.t.  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is given by

$$\Lambda^h : t \in (0, +\infty) \mapsto \Lambda^h(t) = \left( \lambda + \sum_{u \in N^h, u < t} h(t - u) \right)^+$$

# Hawkes process

## Definition 1

The **point process**  $N^h$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a **Hawkes process** on  $(0, +\infty)$  with

- **initial condition**  $N^0 \in \mathcal{N}((-\infty, 0])$  with law  $m$ ,
- **base intensity**  $\lambda > 0$ ,
- and **(signed) reproduction function**  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

if  $N^h|_{(-\infty, 0]} = N^0$  and the **conditional intensity** of  $N^h|_{(0, +\infty)}$  w.r.t.  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^h : t \in (0, +\infty) &\mapsto \Lambda^h(t) = \left( \lambda + \sum_{u \in N^h, u < t} h(t-u) \right)^+ \\ &= \left( \lambda + \int_{(-\infty, t)} h(t-u) N^h(du) \right)^+.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

# Martingale formulation

Definition 1 is a

**martingale formulation of an equation for the law of  $N^h$ :**  
**the conditional intensity  $\Lambda^h$  of  $N^h$  depends on  $N^h$  itself.**

Existence and uniqueness (in law) of the Hawkes process has to be proved, under appropriate assumptions.

# Poisson-driven equation representation

We take a unit  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -Poisson point process  $Q$  on  $(0, +\infty)^2$ . We build a **pathwise unique strong solution** of the **equation**

$$\begin{cases} N^h = N^0 + \int_{(0,+\infty) \times (0,+\infty)} \delta_u \mathbb{1}_{\{\theta \leq \Lambda^h(u)\}} Q(du, d\theta), \\ \Lambda^h(u) = \left( \lambda + \int_{(-\infty, u)} h(u-s) N^h(ds) \right)^+, \quad u > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Such **equations** have been much studied when  $h \geq 0$ , see<sup>5</sup>, e.g.

---

<sup>5</sup> [P. Brémaud and L. Massoulié \(1996\)](#). “Stability of nonlinear Hawkes processes”. In: *Annals of Probability* 3; [P. Brémaud, G. Nappo, and G.L. Torrisi \(2002\)](#). “Rate of convergence to equilibrium of marked Hawkes processes”. In: *Journal of Applied Probability*; [L. Massoulié \(1998\)](#). “Stability results for a general class of interacting point processes dynamics, and applications”. In: *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*.



# Existence and uniqueness

Existence and uniqueness is a non-explosion issue.

# Existence and uniqueness

Existence and uniqueness is a non-explosion issue.

The counting process  $(N_t^h)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of  $N^h$  is given by

$$N^h((a, b]) = N_b^h - N_a^h, \quad -\infty < a < b < \infty, \quad N_0^h = 0.$$

# Existence and uniqueness

Existence and uniqueness is a non-explosion issue.

The counting process  $(N_t^h)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of  $N^h$  is given by

$$N^h((a, b]) = N_b^h - N_a^h, \quad -\infty < a < b < \infty, \quad N_0^h = 0.$$

It satisfies the time-inhomogeneous SDE, equivalent to (2),

$$\begin{cases} N_t^h = \int_{(0,t] \times (0,+\infty)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\theta \leq \Lambda^h(u)\}} Q(du, d\theta), & t \geq 0, \\ \Lambda^h(u) = \left( \lambda + \underbrace{\int_{(-\infty,0]} h(u-s) dN_s^h}_{\text{determined by } N^0} + \underbrace{\int_{(0,u)} h(u-s) dN_s^h}_{\text{depends on } (N_s^h)_{0 \leq s \leq u}} \right)^+, & u > 0. \end{cases}$$

# Notation

When the Hawkes process is **well-defined**, *i.e.*, when **existence** and **uniqueness** holds, we use the notation

$$\mathbb{P}_m \text{ and } \mathbb{E}_m$$

to specify that  $N^0$  has law  $m$ .

# Notation

When the Hawkes process is **well-defined**, i.e., when **existence** and **uniqueness** holds, we use the notation

$$\mathbb{P}_m \text{ and } \mathbb{E}_m$$

to specify that  $N^0$  has law  $m$ .

When

$$m = \delta_\nu \text{ for some } \nu \in \mathcal{N}((-\infty, 0])$$

we use

$$\mathbb{P}_\nu \text{ and } \mathbb{E}_\nu .$$

# Notation

When the Hawkes process is **well-defined**, i.e., when **existence** and **uniqueness** holds, we use the notation

$$\mathbb{P}_m \text{ and } \mathbb{E}_m$$

to specify that  $N^0$  has law  $m$ .

When

$$m = \delta_\nu \text{ for some } \nu \in \mathcal{N}((-\infty, 0])$$

we use

$$\mathbb{P}_\nu \text{ and } \mathbb{E}_\nu .$$

We shall often consider the case

$$\nu = \emptyset \triangleq \text{the null measure having no point on } (-\infty, 0] .$$

# Range of influence

The **support** of  $h$  is naturally defined as the **support** of the measure

$$\mu(dt) = h(t) dt .$$

# Range of influence

The **support** of  $h$  is naturally defined as the **support** of the measure

$$\mu(dt) = h(t) dt .$$

It is given by

$$\text{supp}(h) \triangleq (0, +\infty) \setminus \bigcup_{G \text{ open, } \int_G |h|(t) dt = 0} G .$$



# Range of influence

The **support** of  $h$  is naturally defined as the **support** of the measure

$$\mu(dt) = h(t) dt .$$

It is given by

$$\text{supp}(h) \triangleq (0, +\infty) \setminus \bigcup_{G \text{ open, } \int_G |h|(t) dt = 0} G .$$

We assume w.l.o.g. that  $h = h \mathbb{1}_{\text{supp}(h)}$  and define

$$L(h) \triangleq \sup(\text{supp}(h)) \triangleq \sup\{t > 0, |h(t)| > 0\} \in [0, +\infty] .$$

This is the **maximal range of influence of a point**.

# Main results

# Shifted point processes

We aim at studying the **limit behavior** of the **process** on  
a **sliding finite time window** of length  $A$ .

# Shifted point processes

We aim at studying the **limit behavior** of the **process** on  
a **sliding finite time window** of length  $A$ .

For a point process  $N$  we introduce the **time-shifted processes**

$$N(\cdot + t) \equiv (N_{t+s} - N_t)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which is such that

$$N^h(\cdot + t)((a, b]) = N^h((a + t, b + t]), \quad -\infty < a < b < \infty.$$

# Shifted point processes

We aim at studying the **limit behavior** of the **process** on  
a **sliding finite time window** of length  $A$ .

For a point process  $N$  we introduce the **time-shifted processes**

$$N(\cdot + t) \equiv (N_{t+s} - N_t)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which is such that

$$N^h(\cdot + t)((a, b]) = N^h((a + t, b + t]), \quad -\infty < a < b < \infty.$$

Time is labeled so that **observation** has **started** by time  $-A$ , and

$$N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]} = N^h|_{(t-A, t]}(\cdot + t) \equiv (N_{t+s} - N_t)_{-A < s \leq 0}$$

where we abuse notation by identifying  $N|_B$  and  $N\mathbb{1}_B$ , etc.

# Quantities of interest

The quantities of interest will be of the form

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt \quad (3)$$

# Quantities of interest

The quantities of interest will be of the form

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt \quad (3)$$

where

- $A > 0$  a finite sliding window length,
- $f$  is a locally bounded Borel function on  $\mathcal{N}((-A, 0])$ ,
- $T > 0$  is a large time horizon, and we shall let  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Quantities of interest

The **quantities of interest** will be of the form

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt \quad (3)$$

where

- $A > 0$  a **finite sliding window length**,
- $f$  is a locally bounded Borel function on  $\mathcal{N}((-A, 0])$ ,
- $T > 0$  is a **large time horizon**, and we shall let  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

Such quantities appear commonly in the field of

**statistical inference** of random processes.

Recall that **observation** has **started** by time  $-A$ .



# Main assumptions

## Assumption 1

The signed function  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that

$$L(h) \leq A < \infty, \quad \|h^+\|_1 \triangleq \int_{(0, +\infty)} h^+(t) dt < 1.$$

The distribution  $\mathfrak{m}$  of the initial condition  $N^0$  is such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}(N^0(-L(h), 0]) < \infty.$$

# Main assumptions

## Assumption 1

The signed function  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that

$$L(h) \leq A < \infty, \quad \|h^+\|_1 \triangleq \int_{(0, +\infty)} h^+(t) dt < 1.$$

The distribution  $m$  of the initial condition  $N^0$  is such that

$$\mathbb{E}_m(N^0(-L(h), 0]) < \infty.$$

Then the quantities (3) actually depend only on the **restriction**  $N^0|_{(-A, 0]}$  of the **initial condition**  $N^0$  to  $(-A, 0]$ .

With **abuse of notation**, we identify  $m$  with its marginal on  $\mathcal{N}((-A, 0])$  and denote by  $\emptyset$  the **null point process** on  $(-A, 0]$ .

# Auxiliary Markov process

To exploit the **regeneration structure** of the Hawkes process  $N^h$  with **finite influence range**, we introduce the **auxiliary process**

$$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, \quad X_t \triangleq N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}.$$

# Auxiliary Markov process

To exploit the **regeneration structure** of the Hawkes process  $N^h$  with **finite influence range**, we introduce the **auxiliary process**

$$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, \quad X_t \triangleq N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}.$$

Under **Assumption 1** we prove that

# Auxiliary Markov process

To exploit the **regeneration structure** of the Hawkes process  $N^h$  with **finite influence range**, we introduce the **auxiliary process**

$$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, \quad X_t \triangleq N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}.$$

Under **Assumption 1** we prove that

- the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is **strong Markov** for  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,

# Auxiliary Markov process

To exploit the **regeneration structure** of the Hawkes process  $N^h$  with **finite influence range**, we introduce the **auxiliary process**

$$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, \quad X_t \triangleq N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}.$$

Under **Assumption 1** we prove that

- the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is **strong Markov** for  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,
- the **null** point process  $\emptyset$  is **positive recurrent**,

# Auxiliary Markov process

To exploit the **regeneration structure** of the Hawkes process  $N^h$  with **finite influence range**, we introduce the **auxiliary process**

$$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, \quad X_t \triangleq N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}.$$

Under **Assumption 1** we prove that

- the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is **strong Markov** for  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,
- the **null** point process  $\emptyset$  is **positive recurrent**,
- there **exists** a **unique invariant law**  $\pi_A$ .

# Auxiliary Markov process

To exploit the **regeneration structure** of the Hawkes process  $N^h$  with **finite influence range**, we introduce the **auxiliary process**

$$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, \quad X_t \triangleq N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}.$$

Under **Assumption 1** we prove that

- the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is **strong Markov** for  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,
- the **null** point process  $\emptyset$  is **positive recurrent**,
- there **exists** a **unique invariant law**  $\pi_A$ .

Note that we can then construct a **two-sided Markov process** in **equilibrium** on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and hence a **stationary** version of  $N^h$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .



# Strong Markov property

We derive the strong Markov property for  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  from

# Strong Markov property

We derive the strong Markov property for  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  from

- the fact that  $Q$  is a  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -Poisson point process and thus satisfies the strong Markov property,

# Strong Markov property

We derive the strong Markov property for  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  from

- the fact that  $Q$  is a  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -Poisson point process and thus satisfies the strong Markov property,
- existence and uniqueness in law of the solution of Eq. (2).

# Invariant law

The invariant law  $\pi_A$  of  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  can be written in terms of the occupation measure over an excursion.

# Invariant law

The invariant law  $\pi_A$  of  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  can be written in terms of the occupation measure over an excursion.

The return time to  $\emptyset$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &\triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : X_{t-} \neq \emptyset, X_t = \emptyset\} \\ &\triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : N^h[t - A, t) \neq 0, N^h(t - A, t] = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

# Invariant law

The **invariant law**  $\pi_A$  of  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  can be **written** in terms of the **occupation measure** over an **excursion**.

The **return time** to  $\emptyset$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &\triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : X_{t-} \neq \emptyset, X_t = \emptyset\} \\ &\triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : N^h[t - A, t] \neq 0, N^h(t - A, t] = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

For every non-negative Borel function  $f$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_A f &\triangleq \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau)} \mathbb{E}_\emptyset \left( \int_0^\tau f(X_t) dt \right) \\ &\triangleq \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau)} \mathbb{E}_\emptyset \left( \int_0^\tau f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}) dt \right).\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

# Pointwise ergodic theorem

## Theorem 1

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

# Pointwise ergodic theorem

## Theorem 1

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

Then it has an **unique invariant law**  $\pi_A$  given by [\(4\)](#).

If  $f$  is a Borel function which is nonnegative or  $\pi_A$ -integrable, then

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}) dt \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}\text{-a.s.}} \pi_A f.$$



# Pointwise ergodic theorem

## Theorem 1

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

Then it has an **unique invariant law**  $\pi_A$  given by [\(4\)](#).

If  $f$  is a Borel function which is nonnegative or  $\pi_A$ -integrable, then

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}) dt \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}\text{-a.s.}} \pi_A f.$$

We use **renewal techniques** to prove this.

# Convergence to a process in equilibrium

## Theorem 2

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

# Convergence to a process in equilibrium

## Theorem 2

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

Then **convergence to equilibrium** for **large times** holds in the following sense:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{[0, +\infty)} \in \cdot) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{\text{total variation}} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_A}(N^h|_{[0, +\infty)} \in \cdot).$$

# Convergence to a process in equilibrium

## Theorem 2

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

Then [convergence to equilibrium](#) for [large times](#) holds in the following sense:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{[0, +\infty)} \in \cdot) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{\text{total variation}} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_A}(N^h|_{[0, +\infty)} \in \cdot).$$

This is used in [proofs of the sequel](#), and has [independent interest](#).

# Convergence to a process in equilibrium

## Theorem 2

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $m$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

Then [convergence to equilibrium](#) for [large times](#) holds in the following sense:

$$\mathbb{P}_m(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{[0, +\infty)} \in \cdot) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{\text{total variation}} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_A}(N^h|_{[0, +\infty)} \in \cdot).$$

This is used in [proofs of the sequel](#), and has [independent interest](#). We use results in Thorisson<sup>6</sup> based on [renewal techniques](#) and [coupling](#) to prove it.

---

<sup>6</sup> [Hermann Thorisson \(2000\)](#). *Coupling, stationarity, and regeneration*.

# Central limit theorem

## Theorem 3

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

# Central limit theorem

## Theorem 3

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $m$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

If  $f$  is Borel and  $\pi_A$ -integrable and satisfies

$$\sigma^2(f) \triangleq \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau)} \mathbb{E}_\emptyset \left( \left( \int_0^\tau (f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) - \pi_A f) dt \right)^2 \right) < \infty$$

then

$$\sqrt{T} \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt - \pi_A f \right) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\text{in law}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(f)).$$

# Central limit theorem

## Theorem 3

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $m$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

If  $f$  is Borel and  $\pi_A$ -integrable and satisfies

$$\sigma^2(f) \triangleq \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau)} \mathbb{E}_\emptyset \left( \left( \int_0^\tau (f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) - \pi_A f) dt \right)^2 \right) < \infty$$

then

$$\sqrt{T} \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt - \pi_A f \right) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\text{in law}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(f)).$$

We use **renewal techniques** to prove this.



Pointwise ergodic theorems and their CLTs have been much investigated in the case of nonnegative reproduction functions  $h$ , see<sup>7</sup>, e.g. The results usually concern the

**instantaneous values  $N_t^h$  of the counting process.**

The proofs usually rely on martingale techniques.

---

<sup>7</sup> E. Bacry et al. (2013). “Some limit theorems for Hawkes processes and application to financial statistics”. In: *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 7.

Pointwise ergodic theorems and their CLTs have been much investigated in the case of nonnegative reproduction functions  $h$ , see<sup>7</sup>, e.g. The results usually concern the

**instantaneous values  $N_t^h$  of the counting process.**

The proofs usually rely on martingale techniques.

Here the results concern sliding windows of arbitrary finite length of the point measure  $N^h$ , and hence the

**sub-processes  $(N_{t+s}^h)_{-A < s \leq 0}$  of the counting process.**

The proofs use renewal techniques that will also help us establish

**non-asymptotic exponential concentration bounds.**

---

<sup>7</sup> E. Bacry et al. (2013). “Some limit theorems for Hawkes processes and application to financial statistics”. In: *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 7.

# Notation

Let the first **entrance time** at  $\emptyset$  be defined by

$$\tau_0 \triangleq \inf\{t \geq 0 : N^h(t - A, t] = 0\} . \quad (5)$$

Recall that  $x^+ = \max(x, 0)$  and  $x^- = \max(-x, 0)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $(x)_{\pm}^k = (x^{\pm})^k$  and

$$c^{\pm}(f) \triangleq \sup_{k \geq 3} \left( \frac{2}{k!} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\emptyset} \left( \left( \int_0^{\tau} (f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}) - \pi_A f) dt \right)_{\pm}^k \right)}{\mathbb{E}_{\emptyset}(\tau) \sigma^2(f)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}},$$

$$c^{\pm}(\tau) \triangleq \sup_{k \geq 3} \left( \frac{2}{k!} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\emptyset} \left( (\tau - \mathbb{E}_{\emptyset}(\tau))_{\pm}^k \right)}{\text{Var}_{\emptyset}(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}},$$

$$c^+(\tau_0) \triangleq \sup_{k \geq 3} \left( \frac{2}{k!} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{m}} \left( (\tau_0 - \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tau_0))_+^k \right)}{\text{Var}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tau_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}}.$$

# Concentration inequalities

## Theorem 4

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

# Concentration inequalities

## Theorem 4

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

If  $f$  is Borel and takes its values in a **bounded interval**  $[a, b]$  then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $T$  sufficiently large,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{m}} \left( \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}) dt - \pi_A f \right| \geq \varepsilon \right)$$

satisfies a **concentration inequality** bound depending only on the parameters, given in the next slide.

# Concentration inequalities

## Theorem 4

Let  $N^h$  be a Hawkes process with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $N^0$  with law  $\mathfrak{m}$ , satisfying [Assumption 1](#).

If  $f$  is Borel and takes its values in a **bounded interval**  $[a, b]$  then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $T$  sufficiently large,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{m}} \left( \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A, 0]}) dt - \pi_A f \right| \geq \varepsilon \right)$$

satisfies a **concentration inequality** bound depending only on the parameters, given in the next slide.

We used **renewal techniques** and the **reference book**<sup>8</sup> in the proof.

---

<sup>8</sup> [Pascal Massart \(2007\)](#). *Concentration inequalities and model selection*.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_m \left( \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt - \pi_A f \right| \geq \varepsilon \right) \\
& \leq \exp \left( - \frac{((T - \sqrt{T})\varepsilon - |b - a|\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau))^2}{8T\sigma^2(f) + 4c^+(f)((T - \sqrt{T})\varepsilon - |b - a|\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau))} \right) \\
& + \exp \left( - \frac{((T - \sqrt{T})\varepsilon - |b - a|\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau))^2}{8T\sigma^2(f) + 4c^-(f)((T - \sqrt{T})\varepsilon - |b - a|\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau))} \right) \\
& + \exp \left( - \frac{((T - \sqrt{T})\varepsilon - |b - a|\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau))^2}{8T|b - a|^2 \frac{\text{Var}_\theta(\tau)}{\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau)} + 4|b - a|c^+(\tau)((T - \sqrt{T})\varepsilon - |b - a|\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau))} \right) \\
& + \exp \left( - \frac{((T - \sqrt{T})\varepsilon - |b - a|\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau))^2}{8T|b - a|^2 \frac{\text{Var}_\theta(\tau)}{\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau)} + 4|b - a|c^-(\tau)((T - \sqrt{T})\varepsilon - |b - a|\mathbb{E}_\theta(\tau))} \right) \\
& + \exp \left( - \frac{(\sqrt{T}\varepsilon - 2|b - a|\mathbb{E}_m(\tau_0))^2}{8|b - a|^2 \text{Var}_m(\tau_0) + 4|b - a|c^+(\tau_0)(\sqrt{T}\varepsilon - 2|b - a|\mathbb{E}_m(\tau_0))} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $N|_{(-A,0]} = \emptyset$  then the last term of the r.h.s. is **null** and the upper bound is true with  $T$  instead of  $T - \sqrt{T}$  in the other terms.

# More practical exponential bounds

This **concentration inequality** can be simplified using upper bounds for the constants  $c^{\pm}(f)$  and  $c^{\pm}(\tau)$ .



# More practical exponential bounds

This **concentration inequality** can be simplified using upper bounds for the constants  $c^\pm(f)$  and  $c^\pm(\tau)$ .

## Theorem 5

Under **these assumptions**, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  s.t.  $\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(e^{\alpha\tau}) < \infty$ . Let

$$v = \frac{2(b-a)^2}{\alpha^2} \left\lfloor \frac{T}{\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau)} \right\rfloor \mathbb{E}_\emptyset(e^{\alpha\tau}) e^{\alpha\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau)} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{|b-a|}{\alpha}.$$

Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can give a more practical expression for

$$\mathbb{P}_m \left( \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt - \pi_A f \right| \geq \varepsilon \right).$$

See the next slide.

# Exponential bounds

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\emptyset \left( \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt - \pi_A f \right| \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ \leq 4 \exp \left( - \frac{\left( T\varepsilon - |b - a| \mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau) \right)^2}{4(2v + c(T\varepsilon - |b - a| \mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau)))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, for all  $1 \geq \eta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\emptyset \left( \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(N^h(\cdot + t)|_{(-A,0]}) dt - \pi_A f \right| \geq \varepsilon_\eta \right) \leq \eta, \\ \varepsilon_\eta = \frac{1}{T} \left( |b - a| \mathbb{E}_\emptyset(\tau) - 2c \log\left(\frac{\eta}{4}\right) + \sqrt{4c^2 \log^2\left(\frac{\eta}{4}\right) - 8v \log\left(\frac{\eta}{4}\right)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

# Main ideas for the proofs

# Coupling with a Hawkes process with pure self-excitation

An important idea will be to construct a **coupling** between

# Coupling with a Hawkes process with pure self-excitation

An important idea will be to construct a **coupling** between

- the Hawkes process with **signed** reproduction function  $h$

# Coupling with a Hawkes process with pure self-excitation

An important idea will be to construct a **coupling** between

- the Hawkes process with **signed** reproduction function  $h$
- the Hawkes process with reproduction function  $h^+ \geq 0$ .

# Coupling with a Hawkes process with pure self-excitation

An important idea will be to construct a **coupling** between

- the Hawkes process with **signed** reproduction function  $h$
- the Hawkes process with reproduction function  $h^+ \geq 0$ .

This will be used for proving **existence** and **uniqueness** by proving  
**non-explosion**

# Coupling with a Hawkes process with pure self-excitation

An important idea will be to construct a **coupling** between

- the Hawkes process with **signed** reproduction function  $h$
- the Hawkes process with reproduction function  $h^+ \geq 0$ .

This will be used for proving **existence** and **uniqueness** by proving  
**non-explosion**

as well as for **estimates** which will allow us to prove the existence of **exponential moments**

$$\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(e^{\alpha\tau}) < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0$$



# Coupling with a Hawkes process with pure self-excitation

An important idea will be to construct a **coupling** between

- the Hawkes process with **signed** reproduction function  $h$
- the Hawkes process with reproduction function  $h^+ \geq 0$ .

This will be used for proving **existence** and **uniqueness** by proving  
**non-explosion**

as well as for **estimates** which will allow us to prove the existence of **exponential moments**

$$\mathbb{E}_\emptyset(e^{\alpha\tau}) < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0$$

and in particular to prove **positive recurrence**.

# Existence and uniqueness, coupling

## Theorem 6

Consider Equation (2) for  $N^h$  and the similar equation for  $N^{h^+}$  in which  $h$  is replaced by  $h^+$ . Assume that

$$\|h^+\|_1 < 1, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_m \left( \int_{(-\infty, 0]} h^+(u-s) N^0(ds) \right) du < \infty.$$

# Existence and uniqueness, coupling

## Theorem 6

Consider Equation (2) for  $N^h$  and the similar equation for  $N^{h^+}$  in which  $h$  is replaced by  $h^+$ . Assume that

$$\|h^+\|_1 < 1, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_m \left( \int_{(-\infty, 0]} h^+(u-s) N^0(ds) \right) du < \infty.$$

Then there exists a pathwise unique strong solution  $N^h$  which is a Hawkes process in the sense of Definition 1, the similar result holds for  $N^{h^+}$ , and moreover

$$N^h \leq N^{h^+}.$$

# Exponential moments for the return time

Recall that the **return time** to  $\emptyset$  is given by

$$\tau \triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : N^h[t - A, t) \neq 0, N^h(t - A, t] = 0\},$$

We shall prove the existence of **exponential moments**

$$\mathbb{E}_{\emptyset}(e^{\alpha\tau}) < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0$$

using this **coupling**.

# Exponential moments for the return time

Recall that the **return time** to  $\emptyset$  is given by

$$\tau \triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : N^h[t - A, t) \neq 0, N^h(t - A, t] = 0\},$$

We shall prove the existence of **exponential moments**

$$\mathbb{E}_{\emptyset}(e^{\alpha\tau}) < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0$$

using this **coupling**.

Indeed, denoting by  $\tau^+$  the corresponding **return time** for the process  $N^{h^+}$  defined with  $h^+ \geq 0$ , clearly

$$\tau \leq \tau^+$$

and we need only study  $\tau^+$  and  $N^{h^+}$

# Exponential moments for the return time

Recall that the **return time** to  $\emptyset$  is given by

$$\tau \triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : N^h[t - A, t) \neq 0, N^h(t - A, t] = 0\},$$

We shall prove the existence of **exponential moments**

$$\mathbb{E}_{\emptyset}(e^{\alpha\tau}) < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0$$

using this **coupling**.

Indeed, denoting by  $\tau^+$  the corresponding **return time** for the process  $N^{h^+}$  defined with  $h^+ \geq 0$ , clearly

$$\tau \leq \tau^+$$

and we need only study  $\tau^+$  and  $N^{h^+}$ , i.e., Hawkes processes with **non-negative** reproduction functions.

# Cluster point process decomposition

A Hawkes process with **non-negative** reproduction function  $h$  enjoys a **decomposition** as a **cluster point process** with **immigration** and **branching**.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> A.G. Hawkes and D. Oakes (1974). “A cluster process representation of a self-exciting process”. In: *Journal of Applied Probability*.

# Cluster point process decomposition

A Hawkes process with **non-negative** reproduction function  $h$  enjoys a **decomposition as a cluster point process with immigration and branching**.<sup>9</sup>

- The **conditional intensity** can be decomposed into the **sum**

$$\Lambda^h(t) = \lambda + \sum_{u \in N^h, u < t} h(t - u).$$

---

<sup>9</sup> [A.G. Hawkes and D. Oakes \(1974\)](#). “A cluster process representation of a self-exciting process”. In: *Journal of Applied Probability*.



# Cluster point process decomposition

A Hawkes process with **non-negative** reproduction function  $h$  enjoys a **decomposition as a cluster point process with immigration and branching**.<sup>9</sup>

- The **conditional intensity** can be decomposed into the **sum**

$$\Lambda^h(t) = \lambda + \sum_{u \in N^h, u < t} h(t - u).$$

- The **arrivals** due to the **base intensity**  $\lambda$  are considered as those of **immigrants**.

---

<sup>9</sup> [A.G. Hawkes and D. Oakes \(1974\). “A cluster process representation of a self-exciting process”. In: \*Journal of Applied Probability\*.](#)

# Cluster point process decomposition

A Hawkes process with **non-negative** reproduction function  $h$  enjoys a **decomposition as a cluster point process with immigration and branching**.<sup>9</sup>

- The **conditional intensity** can be decomposed into the **sum**

$$\Lambda^h(t) = \lambda + \sum_{u \in N^h, u < t} h(t - u).$$

- The **arrivals** due to the **base intensity**  $\lambda$  are considered as those of **immigrants**.
- The **arrivals** due to the **influence** of a **previous arrival** are considered as those of its **offspring**.

---

<sup>9</sup> [A.G. Hawkes and D. Oakes \(1974\)](#). “A cluster process representation of a self-exciting process”. In: *Journal of Applied Probability*.

# Cluster point process decomposition

A Hawkes process with **non-negative** reproduction function  $h$  enjoys a **decomposition** as a **cluster point process** with **immigration** and **branching**.<sup>9</sup>

- The **conditional intensity** can be decomposed into the **sum**

$$\Lambda^h(t) = \lambda + \sum_{u \in N^h, u < t} h(t - u).$$

- The **arrivals** due to the **base intensity**  $\lambda$  are considered as those of **immigrants**.
- The **arrivals** due to the **influence** of a **previous arrival** are considered as those of its **offspring**.

Such **arrivals** are **i.i.d.** up to **time-shift**, and belong to a **multi-generational family** started by an **immigrant**.

---

<sup>9</sup> A.G. Hawkes and D. Oakes (1974). “A cluster process representation of a self-exciting process”. In: *Journal of Applied Probability*.

In this context  $\|h\|_1$  is interpreted as the

mean number of offspring of any arrival

and

$$\|h\|_1 \leq 1$$

is the classic **sub-criticality condition** which ensures that each family started by an **immigrant** is **finite** and **well-controlled**.

# Interpretation using a $M/G/\infty$ queue

We interpret the immigrants as jobs arriving at rate  $\lambda$  with i.i.d. service times.

# Interpretation using a $M/G/\infty$ queue

We interpret the immigrants as jobs arriving at rate  $\lambda$  with i.i.d. service times. The service time of a job will be the sum

# Interpretation using a $M/G/\infty$ queue

We interpret the immigrants as jobs arriving at rate  $\lambda$  with i.i.d. service times. The service time of a job will be the sum

- of the duration up to the arrival of last member of its family

# Interpretation using a $M/G/\infty$ queue

We interpret the immigrants as jobs arriving at rate  $\lambda$  with i.i.d. service times. The service time of a job will be the sum

- of the duration up to the arrival of last member of its family
- and of  $A$ .



# Interpretation using a $M/G/\infty$ queue

We interpret the **immigrants** as **jobs arriving** at rate  $\lambda$  with i.i.d. **service times**. The **service time** of a **job** will be the **sum**

- of the **duration** up to the **arrival** of **last member** of its **family**
- and of  $A$ .

Recall that the **influence range** of a point satisfies

$$L(h) \leq A < \infty.$$

Thus, after this **service time** we are sure that the **job** and its **family** will **not influence** the future of  $N^h$ .

# Emptying of the $M/G/\infty$ queue

Let  $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  denote the **queue-length process**,  $\mathcal{T}_0 \triangleq 0$ , and

$$\mathcal{T}_k \triangleq \inf\{t > \mathcal{T}_{k-1} : Y_{t-} \neq 0, Y_t = 0\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then the  $(\mathcal{T}_k)_{k \geq 1}$  are **renewal times** for the **auxiliary Markov process**  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,

$$X_{\mathcal{T}_k} = \emptyset \text{ for } k \geq 1, \text{ and } \tau \leq \mathcal{T}_1.$$

# Emptying of the $M/G/\infty$ queue

Let  $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  denote the **queue-length process**,  $\mathcal{T}_0 \triangleq 0$ , and

$$\mathcal{T}_k \triangleq \inf\{t > \mathcal{T}_{k-1} : Y_{t-} \neq 0, Y_t = 0\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then the  $(\mathcal{T}_k)_{k \geq 1}$  are **renewal times** for the **auxiliary Markov process**  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,

$$X_{\mathcal{T}_k} = \emptyset \text{ for } k \geq 1, \text{ and } \tau \leq \mathcal{T}_1.$$

We prove **positive recurrence** of  $\emptyset$  for  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by proving **positive recurrence** of 0 for  $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . We moreover prove that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\emptyset}(e^{\alpha \mathcal{T}_1}) < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0$$

which implies the same **exponential moment** bound for  $\tau$ .

# Return times of a $M/G/\infty$ queue

We now state a general result on the tail behavior of the return time to zero  $\mathcal{T}_1$  of an initially empty  $M/G/\infty$  queue with a service time having exponential moments:

$\mathcal{T}_1$  then has basically the worse exponential moment between those of the inter-arrival time and of the service time.

# Return times of a $M/G/\infty$ queue

We now state a **general result** on the **tail behavior** of the **return time** to zero  $\mathcal{T}_1$  of an **initially empty**  $M/G/\infty$  queue with a **service time** having **exponential moments**:

$\mathcal{T}_1$  then has basically the **worse exponential moment** between those of the **inter-arrival time** and of the **service time**.

The result is based on the computation of the **Laplace transform**  $\mathbb{E}(e^{-s\mathcal{T}_1})$  on the half-plane  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > 0\}$  by Takács<sup>10</sup>.

There the **abscissa of convergence**  $s_c$  is **non-positive**, but there is an **apparent singularity** on the **pure imaginary axis**.

We remove it using **integration by parts** and use **Laplace transform theory** and **analytical continuation** to prove that

$$\sigma_c \leq -\gamma \text{ for some appropriate } \gamma > 0.$$

---

<sup>10</sup> **L. Takács (1956)**. “On a probability problem arising in the theory of counters”. In: *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*

## Theorem 7

Consider a  $M/G/\infty$  queue with arrival rate  $\lambda > 0$  and generic **service time**  $H$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(H = 0) < 1$  and, for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(H > t) \triangleq 1 - G(t) = O(e^{-\gamma t}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

## Theorem 7

Consider a  $M/G/\infty$  queue with arrival rate  $\lambda > 0$  and generic **service time**  $H$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(H = 0) < 1$  and, for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(H > t) \triangleq 1 - G(t) = O(e^{-\gamma t}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

The queue is started at 0. Let

- $V_1$  denote the **arrival** time of the **first customer**,
- $\mathcal{T}_1$  denote the **subsequent time of return** to 0 of the queue,
- $B = \mathcal{T}_1 - V_1$  denote the corresponding **busy period**.

## Theorem 7

Consider a  $M/G/\infty$  queue with arrival rate  $\lambda > 0$  and generic **service time**  $H$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(H = 0) < 1$  and, for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(H > t) \triangleq 1 - G(t) = O(e^{-\gamma t}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

The queue is started at 0. Let

- $V_1$  denote the **arrival** time of the **first customer**,
- $\mathcal{T}_1$  denote the **subsequent time of return** to 0 of the queue,
- $B = \mathcal{T}_1 - V_1$  denote the corresponding **busy period**.

Then the following holds.

- 1 If  $\beta < \gamma$  then  $\mathbb{E}(e^{\beta B}) < \infty$ . In particular  $\mathbb{P}(B \geq t) = O(e^{-\beta t})$ .
- 2 If  $\lambda < \gamma$  then  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_1 \geq t) = O(e^{-\lambda t})$ .  
If  $\gamma \leq \lambda$  then  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_1 \geq t) = O(e^{-\alpha t})$  for every  $\alpha < \gamma$ .



# Elements of proof

We have  $\mathcal{T}_1 = V_1 + B$  where  $V_1$  and  $B$  are independent.

Takács<sup>11</sup> has proved that the Laplace transform of  $\mathcal{T}_1$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-s\mathcal{T}_1}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda + s} \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty e^{-st - \lambda \int_0^t [1-G(u)] du} dt}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) > 0.$$

---

<sup>11</sup> L. Takács (1956). “On a probability problem arising in the theory of counters”. In: *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*

# Elements of proof

We have  $\mathcal{T}_1 = V_1 + B$  where  $V_1$  and  $B$  are independent.

Takács<sup>11</sup> has proved that the Laplace transform of  $\mathcal{T}_1$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-s\mathcal{T}_1}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda + s} \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty e^{-st-\lambda \int_0^t [1-G(u)] du} dt}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) > 0.$$

Since the Laplace transform of  $V_1$  is  $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}$ , the Laplace transform of  $B$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-sB}) = \frac{\lambda + s}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty e^{-st-\lambda \int_0^t [1-G(u)] du} dt}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) > 0,$$

and we study this formula.

---

<sup>11</sup> L. Takács (1956). “On a probability problem arising in the theory of counters”. In: *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*

There is an **apparent singularity** in the r.h.s. at  $\Re(s) = 0$  since

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E}(e^{-sB}) = 1 \implies \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^\infty e^{-st - \lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(u)] du} dt = \infty.$$

It can be seen directly on the integral since

$$\int_0^\infty [1 - G(u)] du = \mathbb{E}[H] > 0.$$

There is an **apparent singularity** in the r.h.s. at  $\Re(s) = 0$  since

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E}(e^{-sB}) = 1 \implies \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^\infty e^{-st - \lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(u)] du} dt = \infty.$$

It can be seen directly on the integral since

$$\int_0^\infty [1 - G(u)] du = \mathbb{E}[H] > 0.$$

We shall remove it by **integration by parts** and then prove that the **abscissa of convergence**  $\sigma_c$  of the **Laplace transform**  $\mathbb{E}(e^{-sB})$  satisfies

$$\sigma_c \leq -\gamma < 0.$$

We use **integration by parts**: on the half-line  $\{s \in \mathbb{R} : s > 0\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\infty e^{-st-\lambda \int_0^t [1-G(u)] du} dt \\
 &= \left[ \frac{e^{-st}}{-s} e^{-\lambda \int_0^t [1-G(u)] du} \right]_{t=0}^\infty \\
 &\quad - \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-st}}{-s} (-\lambda [1-G(t)]) e^{-\lambda \int_0^t [1-G(u)] du} dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{s} - \frac{\lambda}{s} \int_0^\infty [1-G(t)] e^{-st-\lambda \int_0^t [1-G(u)] du} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $1 - G(t) = O(e^{-\gamma t})$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \int_0^\infty [1 - G(t)] e^{-\lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(u)] du} dt &= \left[ -e^{-\lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(u)] du} \right]_{t=0}^\infty \\ &= 1 - e^{-\lambda \mathbb{E}(H)} < 1,\end{aligned}$$

we define a constant  $\theta < 0$  and an **analytic function**  $f$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \inf \left\{ s \leq 0 : \lambda \int_0^\infty [1 - G(t)] e^{-st - \lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(u)] du} dt < 1 \right\} \vee (-\gamma), \\ f(s) &= \frac{\lambda + s}{\lambda} - \frac{s}{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda \int_0^\infty [1 - G(t)] e^{-st - \lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(u)] du} dt}, \quad \Re(s) > \theta.\end{aligned}$$

The Laplace transform  $\mathbb{E}(e^{-sB})$  has an **abscissa of convergence**  $\sigma_c \leq 0$  and is **analytic** in the half-plane  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > \sigma_c\}$ , see Widder<sup>12</sup>.

Both this Laplace transform and  $f$  are **analytic** in the domain  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > \max(\theta, \sigma_c)\}$ ,

The Laplace transform  $\mathbb{E}(e^{-sB})$  has an **abscissa of convergence**  $\sigma_c \leq 0$  and is **analytic** in the half-plane  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > \sigma_c\}$ , see Widder<sup>12</sup>.

Both this Laplace transform and  $f$  are **analytic** in the domain  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > \max(\theta, \sigma_c)\}$ ,

Since these two **analytic functions** coincide there on the **half-line**  $\{s \in \mathbb{R} : s > 0\}$ , they must coincide in the **whole domain**, see Rudin<sup>13</sup>, so that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-sB}) = f(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) > \max(\theta, \sigma_c).$$

Moreover, this Laplace transform must have an **analytic singularity** at  $s = \sigma_c$ , see Widder<sup>10</sup>, and since  $f$  is **analytic** in  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > \theta\}$  necessarily  $\sigma_c \leq \theta$ .

---

<sup>12</sup> [David Vernon Widder \(1941\)](#). *The Laplace Transform*.

<sup>13</sup> [Walter Rudin \(1987\)](#). *Real and complex analysis*. [Third](#).



Since  $\theta < 0$ , by monotone convergence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{s \rightarrow \theta^+} f(s) &= \frac{\lambda + \theta}{\lambda} - \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda \int_0^\infty [1 - G(t)] e^{-\theta t - \lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(u)] du} dt} \\ &= \mathbb{E}(e^{-\theta B}) \in [1, \infty],\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lambda \int_0^\infty [1 - G(t)] e^{-\theta t - \lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(u)] du} dt < 1,$$

and thus that  $\theta = -\gamma$ .

We conclude that

$$\sigma_c \leq -\gamma.$$

Thus, if  $\beta < \gamma$  then  $\mathbb{E}(e^{\beta B}) < \infty$ .

**Thank you all for your attention**

**Sylvie, have a great  
60th birthday conference**